In the Indiana Supreme Court

A 1 5/	
FILED APR - 1 2008	
Main About	
VIII ON	•

	` `	0 0 0
In re Failure to Satisfy Costs in Lawyer)	Supreme Court Cause No.
Disciplinary Cases of:)	94S00-0801-MS-9
David L. MARTENET,)	
Eric S. CROCKETT, et al.,)	
Respondents.)	

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION WITH RESPECT TO DAVID L. MARTENET AND ERIC S. CROCKETT

On January 8, 2008, the Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary Commission filed a "Petition for Suspension of Certain Attorneys for Failure to Satisfy Costs Ordered in Connection with Lawyer Discipline Proceedings," asserting each of the Respondents failed to pay costs assessed in a disciplinary action by the due date of the attorney's annual registration fee (October 1), in violation of the requirements of Indiana Admission and Discipline Rules 23(10)(f)(5), 23(16), and (2)(b).

On March 18, 2008, this Court entered an order suspending from the practice of law in Indiana three attorneys, including David L. Martenet and Eric S. Crockett. The Commission now files a "Motion to Dismiss" with respect to each of these attorneys, reporting that they have paid in full the amounts owed in unpaid costs.

Being duly advised, the Court GRANTS the motions, DISMISSES this action with respect to David L. Martenet and Eric S. Crockett, and REINSTATES them to the practice of law in Indiana.

The Court directs the Clerk to forward a copy of this Order to David L. Martenet and Eric S. Crockett or their attorneys, to the Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary Commission, and to all other entities entitled to notice under Admission and Discipline Rule 23(3)(d).

Done at Indianapolis, Indiana, this _____ day of April, 2008.

Acting Chief Justice of Indiana